### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

				PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)		
	see form	PCT/ISA/220				
				Date of mailing (displacenth/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	national application   F/GB2004/00277		International filing date (d 28.06.2004	leytnonth/year)	Priority data (daydnonth/year) 30.06.2003	
_	national Patent Clas N264	sification (IPC) or l	oth national classification :	and IPC	·	
Appli	EWAY TO WIN	LIMITED				
1.			ons relating to the folio	owing items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	Inion .			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III		·	rd to novelty, inve	intive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V				i to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum		., -		
	🖾 Box No. VII	Certain defects	In the international app	lication		
			stions on the internation			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			•	
	written opinion of the applicant cha	f the Internations coses an Authori reau under Rule	d Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to	Authority ("IPEA" be the IPEA and t	will usually be considered to be a  7). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the emational Searching Authority	
	submit to the IPE	EA a written reply date of mailing of	/ together, where appro	prizte, with amend	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to Iments, before the expiration of three ion of 22 months from the priority data,	
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	TASAR20.			
^	•		Form PCT/ISA/220.		-	
3.					<b>4</b> •	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 

9

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fex: +49 89 2399 - 4485

Pétiaud, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6068



Form PCTASA237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002777

	Box N	lo. i Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type	of material:					
	0	a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. form	nat of material:					
		In written format					
		In computer readable form					
	c. time	of filing/lumishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h:	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional uples is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					

Form PCTAPEA/237 (January 2004)

4. Additional comments:

NTE		PINION OF TH ONAL SEARCI		THORIT	Y PCT/GB2004/002777		
Во	x No. H	Priority					
1. 🛭	The fol	lowing document h	as not be	en furnished	d:		
	Ø	copy of the earlier	applicatio	n whose pr	ionity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43						
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
2. []	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3. Adı	ditional o	bservations, if nec	essary:				
			•				
	x No. V justrial a		ment und	ler Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelly, inventive step or as supporting such statement		
I. Sta	tement				•		
No	velty (N)		Yes:	Claims ·	3, 4, 8-10, 14-18, 20, 24		
			No:	Claims	1, 2, 5-7, 11-13, 19, 21-23		
Inv	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	18		
			No:	Claims	3, 4, 8-10, 14-17, 20, 23		
Ind	ustrial er	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-24		
	·		No:	Claims			
2. Cita	ations an	d explanations			· ·		
See	senera:	te sheet					
	•						
Вох	x No. VI	Certain defects	In the in	ternational	application		
The fo	llowing d	lefects in the form (	or content	s of the inte	emational application have been noted:		
معو	_	te sheet					
	· ——						

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Box No. Vill Certain observations on the International application

see separate sheet

Form PCT/PEA/237 (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002777

#### A. Re Item V.

#### 1. Claim 1

Document US6447058 (D1) discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A seat portion (40) for a seat, which seat portion comprises a first part which is made of a first plastic foam material of a first density, and a second part (38) which is made of a second plastic foam material of a second and different density (see passages referred to in the search report).

The present application does thus not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

#### 2 Claim 3

The subject-matter of claim 3 differs from the seat portion known from D1 in that the insert comprises a pair of pads positioned under the posterior of the seating person and a pair of legs positioned under the thighs of the seating person.

However, it is known to place inserts in these position in the seating portion of a seat (see for instance US6098000 (D2), figure 1), as these positions are of specific importance for the comfort of a seating person.

The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal option to include this feature in the seating portion described in document D1 in order to improve the comfort of the seating person.

The subject-matter of claim 3 does thus not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

#### 3. Claim 4

An insert having curved faces which engage complementary curved faces in the recess is described in document US4726086 (D3) (see figure 12). The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design option to include this feature in the seating portion.

The subject-matter of claim 4 does thus not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002777

#### 4. Claims 22 and 23

The subject-matter of claims 22 and 23 is known from US3612607 (D4) (see figure 2).

The subject-matters of claims 22 and 23 are therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

#### 5. Claims 2. 5 to 17 and 19 to 21 and 24

Dependent claims 2, 5 to 17 and 19 to 21 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the specific features of these claims being either known from D1, or generally known from the man skilled in the art.

#### 6. Claim 18

The subject-matter of claim 18 differs from the seat described in D1 by the conical shape of the rectilinear support part.

This shape provides a better support to the seating person, and is not known nor suggested by the available prior art.

The subject-matter of claim 18 is therefore novel and inventive (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT).

#### B. Re Item VII.

#### 6. Prior art description

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D2, D3 and D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

7. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

#### B. Re Item VII.

#### 8. Independant claims

Claim 22 comprises all the features of claim 1 and is therefore not appropriately

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002777

formulated as a claim dependent on the latter (Article 6 and Rule 6.4 PCT).

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.